

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address & MMISSI NER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1.196 Alexandra, Auguna 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/470,343	12/22/1999	Bernardo Martinez-Tovar	P-1583 6032	
20978 7:	590 06 25 2003			
LIBERT & A		EXAMINER CHAMBERS, TROY		
3 MILL POND P O BOX 538				
SIMSBURY, C	T 06070-0538		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. 09/470.343		Applicant(s) MARTINEZ-TOVAR ET AL	
		Troy Chambers	1 -	3641	$ \uparrow $
		Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cove	r sheet with the co
THE I - Externance - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 3.7 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a replication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mi will apply and will expire a cause the application	ever may a reply be timer and thirty ,30 days : SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED	y filed will be considered timely e-mailing date of this com [35 U.S.C. § 133.	imunication
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	June 2003			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-f	inal.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for fo Ex parte Quayle	ormal matters, pro- 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the 3 O G 213.	merits is
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation.		
	Claim(s) 1-11 and 18-20 is/are allowed				
	Claim(s) <u>12-17 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.		
	Fhe specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accel		ed to by the Evam	ınar	
10)[Applicant may not request that any objection to th		·		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	· ·		
, _	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re				
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application	1 No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	in this National St	age
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•	(to a provisional a	nnlication
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional applicati	on has been recer	ved.	ppheadom
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	nd/or 121	
Attachment 1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	41	Interview Correction of	OTO 412\ Dan No	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)	,	PTO-413) Paper Nors) Fent Application (PTO-	152)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-17 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT Publication WO 9742462 issued to Martinez-Tovar ("MT"). MT discloses a semiconductor bridge device 10, comprising: a silicon or sapphire substrate 12 (pg. 11, II. 29-30); an electrical bridge structure disposed on the substrate 12 (fig. 1). the bridge structure comprising a layer of semiconductor material; a layer consisting essentially of titanium 18, 20 (pg. 11); the bridge structure comprising a bridge section 14c extending between pad sections 14a/b; a pair of aluminum lands 16a/b (pg. 9, II. 13-36); a pair of electrical leads 32 a/b; and, a capacitor connected to said leads 32 a/b (pg. 24, II. 24-29).
- 3. With respect to claim 12, MT discloses a preconditioning step comprising thermal annealing (pg. 10).
- 4. With respect to claim 21, the device of MT is capable of accepting a voltage sufficient to melt the solid metal and vaporize the semiconductor.
- 5. Claims 21-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benson.

 Benson discloses an SCB igniter comprising: a substrate, a bridge comprising a layer of semiconductor material and having thereover a layer of solid metal, a bridge section

Application/Control Number: 09/470,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

extending between and connecting spaced-apart pad sections and lead wires connected to said lands capable of accepting a voltage sufficient to melt the solid metal.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-11 and 18-20 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1 and 18 uses the language "consisting essentially of" which not only limits the material of the bridge to titanium but does not allow for any other layers comprising any other metal. Applicant should respond accordingly if there is any disagreement with this interpretation.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6-12-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 12, applicant argues: "Nowhere does Martinez-Tovar state that the titanium in the semiconductor bridge disclosed therein has been preconditioned so that it will be able to resist temperature-induced variations in bridge electrical resistance..." However, pg. 10, II. 6-23 of Martinez-Tovar makes it clear that applicant's preconditioning process, or thermal annealing, is well known in the art.

With respect to claim 21, applicant argues, "the voltage applied to the bridge does not lead to the removal of solid metal from between the semiconductor material and the energetic material" because of the difference between the melting temperature of tungsten and the vaporization temperature of the semiconductor bridge material. However, this presumes MT uses a voltage sufficient only to vaporize the

Art Unit: 3641

semiconductor bridge material. It should be clear to one of ordinary skill in the art that the use of metal with a higher melting point requires a higher operating voltage. Additionally, it would appear the applicant's device suffers from the same alleged shortcomings of MT. The melting temperature of titanium is 1668 °C, which is above the vaporization temperature (1400 °C) of silicon as argued by the applicant.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Art Unit: 3641

5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.